

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/628,598	DAGGETT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Yewebdar T. Tadesse	1734	

**All Participants:**

(1) Yewebdar T. Tadesse.

(2) James V. Lilly.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 November 2006
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*None*

**Claims discussed:**

*8,12-13, 17, 21, 24 and 25*

**Prior art documents discussed:**


*Matsui (US 5,766,671)*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It is agreed to replace the limitation of "a pressure communicative conduit" with[a pressure communicative fluid conduit] in independent claims 8, 17 and 24 to clearly distinguish the claimed apparatus over reference US'671 - showing electrical line (wire) communication between the pressure sensor and the coating enclosure (see Fig 5), in comparison to a fluid conduit as claimed in the instant application. Some other claim languages are also amended to avoid 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph issues (see enclosed Examiner's Amendment)